



# CASSON FLUID FLOW WITH ARRHENIOUS FUNCTION OVER AN EXPONENTIAL STRETCHING SHEET

<sup>1</sup>MOHAMMED I. B. S., <sup>2</sup>OLAYIWOLA R.O., <sup>2</sup>A. A. MOHAMMED AND <sup>2</sup>N. NYOR <sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Federal Polytechnic Bida, Nigeria. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Federal University of Technology Minna, Nigeria.

## Abstract

This paper transformed the model equations of casson fluid flow with Arrhenious function over an exponential stretching sheet from non-linear partial differential equations (PDE) to ordinary differential equations (ODE) using suitable similarity transformation. The transformed equations were solved using iteration perturbation method. The graphical illustrations were provided and it was observed that velocity profile decreases with increase in casson, magnetic, permeability and porosity parameters while increase in ratio parameter, thermal and solutal grashof numbers enhance the velocity profiles, Soret number increse the concentration profile while chemical reaction parameter, activation energy parameter and schmidtl number decrease the concentration profile. Increase in magnetic parameter, radiative parameter, heat source, dufour number, chemical reaction and activation energy parameters enhance the temperature profile while increase in prandtl number decreases the temperature profile.

*Keywords: Activation energy, Casson fluid, Chemical reaction, Stretching sheet, Non-Newtonian,* 

# Introduction

A fluid in which the viscous stresses arising from its flow at every point are linearly proportional to the rate of change in its deformation over time is called Newtonian fluid. This means that in a Newtonian fluid, the relationship between the shear stress and the shear rate are linear with the proportionality constant referred to as the coefficient of viscosity. On the other hand, a fluid whose flow properties are different in any way from that of the Newtonian fluid is called a non-Newtonian fluid. Casson fluid is classified as a non-Newtonian fluid due to its rheological characteristics. These characteristics show shear stress-strain relationships that are significantly different from Newtonian fluid. Many researchers have developed and studied the transport properties of Casson fluid over the last few decades. Pushapalata *et al.* (2016) investigated the unsteady free convective flow of a casson fluid bounded by a moving vertical plate in a rotating system. Sarojamma *et al.* (2014) analyzed the flow, heat and mass transfer characteristics of a MHD casson fluid in a parallel plate channel with stretching walls subject to a uniform transverse magnetic field. Kushpalalata *et al.* (2017) analyzed the effects of cross diffusion on casson fluid over an unsteady stretching surface with boundary effects.

Maleque (2016) investigated an exothermic/endothermic binary chemical reaction on unsteady MHD non-Newtonian casson fluid flow with heat and mass transfer past a flat porous plate. Malegue (2013) investigated the effects of exothermic/endothermic chemical reaction with Arrhenius activation energy on MHD free convection mass transfer flow in presence of thermal radiation. Prakash et al. (2016) examined the thermal and solutal boundary layer in incompressible, laminar flow over an exponentially stretching sheet with variable temperature and concentration in the presence of chemical reaction and thermal radiation. Charankumar et al. (2016) examined chemical reaction and Soret effects on casson MHD fluid flow over a vertical plate with heat source/sink. The problem was solved numerically using perturbation technique for the velocity, the temperature and the concentration species.

Kumar and Gangadhar (2015) investigated the interactions of MHD stagnation point of electrically conducting non-Newtonian casson fluid and heat transfer towards a stretching sheet in the presence of viscous dissipation, momentum and thermal slip flow. Saidulu and Lakshmi (2016) described the boundary layer flow of non-Newtonian Casson fluid accompanied by heat and mass transfer towards a porous exponentially stretching sheet with velocity slip and thermal slip conditions in presence of thermal radiation, suction/blowing, viscous dissipation, heat source/sink and chemical reaction effects. Vedavathi *et al.* (2016) examined chemical reaction, radiation and dufour effects on Casson MHD fluid flow over a vertical plate with heat source/sink and the problem was solved numerically using perturbation technique. Gireesha *et al.* (2016)

examined the similarity solution to the problem of two - dimensional boundary layer flow, heat and mass transfer of non-Newtonian Casson fluid over a porous stretching surface. Kirubhashankar *et al.* (2015) investigated Casson fluid flow and heat transfer over an unsteady porous Stretching surface. Hussanan *et al.* (2016) investigated the effects of Newtonian heating and inclined magnetic field on two-dimensional flow of a Casson fluid over a stretching sheet. This paper presents a steady three dimensional casson fluid flow model with Arrhenious function over an exponential stretching sheet.

## Model Formulation

We consider three dimensional (3D) steady incompressible flows past a non-isothermal exponentially stretching sheet. The sheet is stretched along the xy plane, while the fluid is placed along the z- axis; the uniform magnetic field is applied in z - direction that is perpendicular to the flow direction. Here, we assumed that the sheet was stretched with velocities

$$U_w = U_0 e^{\frac{x+y}{L}}$$
 and  $V_w = V_0 e^{\frac{x+y}{L}}$  along the xy-plane respectively,  $T_w = T_0 e^{\frac{x+y}{L}}$  and  $C_w = C_0 e^{\frac{x+y}{L}}$ 

 $C_w = C_0 e^{-L}$ . A heat source/sink placed within the flow to allow for heat generation or absorption effects.

The rheological equation of state for an isotropic flow of casson fluid as stated by (Pushpalata et al. 2017) can be expressed as:

$$\tau_{ij} = \begin{cases} 2\left(\mu_B + \frac{p_z}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)e_{ij}, \pi > \pi_c \\ 2\left(\mu_B + \frac{p_z}{\sqrt{2\pi_c}}\right)e_{ij}, \pi < \pi_c \end{cases}$$
(1)

In the above equation  $\pi = e_{ij}e_{ij}$  and  $e_{ij}$  denotes the  $(i, j)^{th}$  components of the deformation rate,  $\pi$  is the product of the deformation rate itself,  $\pi_c$  is the critical value of this product based on the non-Newtonian fluid model,  $\mu_B$  is the plastic dynamic viscosity of the non-Newtonian fluid and  $P_z$  is the

yield stress of the fluid. From (1), we obtain  $\mu_B = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tau_{ij}}{e_{ij}} - \frac{p_z}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ ,  $\upsilon = \frac{\mu_B}{\rho}$  and  $\beta = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi_c}}{p_z} \mu_B$ 

**211** | P a g e

The boundary layer equations of three-dimensional incompressible casson fluids flow are given as follows

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = v \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} \right] - \frac{\sigma B^2}{\rho} u - \frac{v}{K} u - \Gamma u^2 + g_g \beta_T (T - T_\infty) \right]$$

$$+ g_g \beta_c (C - C_\infty)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = v \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \left[ \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} \right] - \frac{\sigma B^2}{\rho} v - \frac{v}{K} v - \Gamma v^2$$

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = \frac{k_h}{\rho c_p} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} \right] + \frac{D_m k_T}{T_m c_s} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\sigma B^2}{\rho} (u^2 + v^2) + \frac{V}{\rho c_p} (T - T_\infty) - \frac{1}{\rho c_p} \frac{\partial q_r}{\partial z} + \beta_{EE} k_r^2 (T - T_\infty)^n (C - C_\infty) e^{-\frac{E_n}{k(T - T_\infty)}}$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} = D_m \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2} + \frac{D_m k_T}{T_m} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} - k_r^2 (T - T_\infty)^n (C - C_\infty) e^{-\frac{E_n}{k(T - T_\infty)}}$$
(5)
$$u \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} = D_m \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2} + \frac{D_m k_T}{T_m} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} - k_r^2 (T - T_\infty)^n (C - C_\infty) e^{-\frac{E_n}{k(T - T_\infty)}}$$
(6)
Subject to the initial and boundary conditions:

 $u = U_w, v = V_w, T = T_w, C = C_w \text{ at } z = 0$  $u \to 0, v \to 0, T \to T_\infty, C \to C_\infty \text{ as } z \to \infty$ 

Where  ${}^{II}, {}^{V}$  and  ${}^{W}$  are the velocity component in the direction of  ${}^{I}, {}^{Y}$  and  ${}^{z}$  respectively,  ${}^{\beta}$  is the casson fluid parameter,  ${}^{U}$  is the kinematic viscosity,  ${}^{B}$  is the magnetic induction,  ${}^{B_{0}}$  is constant,  ${}^{K}$  and  ${}^{\Gamma}$  are permeability and the inertia coefficient of porous medium,  ${}^{T}$  is temperature,  ${}^{C}$  is the concentration of the fluid,  ${}^{\beta_{T}}$  and  ${}^{\beta_{C}}$  are the coefficient of volume expansion for temperature and concentration differences respectively,  ${}^{\beta_{C_{0}}}$  and  ${}^{\beta_{T_{0}}}$  are constants,  ${}^{Q_{1}}$  is heat source,  ${}^{Q_{0}}$  is constant,  ${}^{k_{T}}$  is the thermal diffusivity ratio,  ${}^{\alpha_{h}}$  is the thermal diffusivity,  ${}^{\sigma}$  is the electrical conductivity,  ${}^{k_{g}}$  is acceleration due to gravity,  ${}^{\sigma}$  is the specific heat capacity at constant pressure,  ${}^{c_{s}}$  is the concentration susceptibility,  ${}^{T_{\infty}}$  is the free stream temperature,  ${}^{T_{m}}$ 

**212** | P a g e

is the mean fluid temperature,  $D_m$  is the coefficient of mass diffusivity,  $k_r$  is  $k_{r_0}$  is constant,  $\beta_{EE}(=\pm 1)_{is}$ rate, chemical reaction the the exothermic/endothermic parameter,  $(T - T_{\infty})^n (C - C_{\infty}) e^{-\frac{E_a}{k(T - T_{\infty})}}$ the Arrhenius function where  $^{n}$  is the dimensionless exponent fitted rate constant typically lie in the range -1 < n < 1,  $E_a$  is the activation energy, *k* is the Boltzmann constant  $k_0$  is constant and the radiative heat flux  $q_r$  is  $q_r = -\frac{4\sigma_1}{3k_1} \frac{\partial(T^4)}{\partial z} \text{ where } \sigma_1$ described by Roseland approximation such that and  $k_1$  are the Stefan Boltzmann constant and mean absorption coefficient respectively.

# Method of Solution

Using the similarity variables:

$$\eta = \sqrt{\frac{U_0}{2\nu L}} e^{\frac{x+y}{L}} z, u = U_0 e^{\frac{x+y}{L}} f'(\eta), v = U_0 e^{\frac{x+y}{L}} g'(\eta), T = T_{\infty} + T_0 e^{\frac{x+y}{L}} \theta(\eta), C = C_{\infty} + C_0 e^{\frac{x+y}{L}} \phi(\eta), k_r = k_{r_0} e^{\frac{x+y}{2L}}, K = \frac{1}{K_0 e^{\frac{x+y}{L}}}, B = B_0 e^{\frac{x+y}{2L}}, k = \frac{k_0}{e^{\frac{x+y}{L}}}, Q_1 = Q_0 e^{\frac{x+y}{L}}, \beta_T = \beta_{T_0} e^{\frac{x+y}{L}}, \beta_C = \beta_{C_0} e^{\frac{x+y}{L}}$$
(8)

The transformed equations together with the boundary conditions are:

$$b_{1}f''' + (f + \eta f' + g + \eta g')f'' - 2(f' + g')\left(f' + \frac{\eta}{2}f''\right) - b_{2}f' - \Lambda f'^{2} + G_{r_{\theta}}\theta + G_{r_{\phi}}\phi = 0$$
(9)

$$b_{1}g''' + (f + \eta f' + g + \eta g')g'' - 2(f' + g')\left(g' + \frac{\eta}{2}g''\right) - b_{2}g' - \Lambda g'^{2} = 0$$
(10)

$$\frac{1}{P_r}\theta'' + \frac{R}{P_r}\theta'' + (f + \eta f' + g + \eta g')\theta' - 2(f' + g')\left(\theta + \frac{\eta}{2}\theta'\right) + M(f'^2 + g'^2)$$
$$+ Q_h\theta + \delta\phi e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{\theta}} + S_r\phi'' = 0$$
(11)

$$\frac{1}{S_c}\phi'' + D_u\theta'' + (f + \eta f' + g + \eta g')\phi' - 2(f' + g')\left(\phi + \frac{\eta}{2}\phi'\right) - \delta\phi e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{\theta}} = 0$$
(12)

September, 2020 Editions

(14)

SSAAR (JPAS); Journal of Pure and Applied Science

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) &= 0, \quad g(0) = 0, \ f'(0) = 1, \quad g'(0) = \alpha, \quad \theta(0) = 1, \quad \phi(0) = 1 \\ f' \to 0 \ as \ \eta \to \infty, \ g' \to 0 \ as \ \eta \to \infty, \quad \theta \to 0 \ as \ \eta \to \infty, \quad \phi \to 0 \ as \ \eta \to \infty \end{aligned}$$

$$(13)$$

Where

$$G_{r_{\theta}} = \frac{2Lg\beta_{T_{0}}T_{0}}{U_{0}^{2}}, G_{r_{\theta}} = \frac{2Lg\beta_{C_{0}}C_{0}}{U_{0}^{2}}, M = \frac{2L\sigma B_{0}^{2}}{\rho U_{0}}, \alpha_{h} = \frac{k_{h}}{\rho c_{p}}, K_{p} = \frac{2L\nu K_{0}}{U_{0}}, \Lambda = 2L\Gamma,$$

$$S_{r} = \frac{D_{m}k_{T}}{T_{m}}\frac{C_{0}}{\nu T_{0}}, \frac{1}{S_{c}} = \frac{D_{m}}{\nu}, \delta = \frac{2L\beta_{EE}k_{r_{0}}^{2}C_{0}}{T_{0}U_{0}}, Q_{h} = \frac{2LQ_{0}}{\rho c_{p}U_{0}}, R = \frac{16T_{\infty}^{3}\sigma_{1}}{3k_{1}k_{h}}, \frac{1}{P_{r}} = \frac{k_{h}}{\rho c_{p}\nu}, D_{u} = \frac{D_{m}k_{T}}{T_{m}c_{S}}\frac{C_{0}}{\nu T_{0}}$$

Now, we begin with the initial approximate solution (Mohammed *et al.*, 2015; Olayiwola, 2016):

$$f_0 = \frac{1}{b} (1 - e^{-b\eta}), \ g_0 = \frac{\alpha}{b} (1 - e^{-b\eta})$$

Substituting the initial approximations (14) and embedding artificial parameter into (9) - (13) we have:

Order zero equations are:

$$b_{1}f_{0}^{'''} + bf_{0}^{''} = 0$$
(15)  

$$b_{1}g_{0}^{'''} + bg_{0}^{''} = 0$$
(16)  

$$\left(\frac{1+R}{p_{r}}\right)\theta_{0}^{''} + b\theta_{0}^{'} = 0$$
(17)  

$$\frac{1}{S_{c}}\phi_{0}^{''} + b\phi_{0}^{'} = 0$$
(18)

Order one equations are:

$$b_{1}f_{1}''' + bf_{1}'' + \left(\frac{1}{b}\left(1 - e^{-b\eta}\right) + \eta f_{0}' + \frac{\alpha}{b}\left(1 - e^{-b\eta}\right) + \eta g_{0}' - b\right)f_{0}'' - 2\left(f_{0}' + g_{0}'\right)\left(f_{0}' + \frac{\eta}{2}f_{0}''\right) \\ -b_{2}f_{0}' - \Lambda f_{0}'^{2} + G_{r_{0}}\theta_{0} + G_{r_{0}}\phi_{0} = 0$$
(19)

$$b_{1}g_{1}''' + bg_{1}'' + \left(\frac{1}{b}(1 - e^{-b\eta}) + \eta f_{0}' + \frac{\alpha}{b}(1 - e^{-b\eta}) + \eta g_{0}' - b\right)g_{0}'' - 2(f_{0}' + g_{0}')\left(g_{0}' + \frac{\eta}{2}g_{0}''\right) - b_{2}g_{0}' - \Lambda g_{0}'^{2} = 0$$
(20)

$$\left(\frac{1+R}{P_{r}}\right)\theta_{1}''+b\theta_{1}'+\left(\frac{1}{b}\left(1-e^{-b\eta}\right)+\eta f_{0}'+\frac{\alpha}{b}\left(1-e^{-b\eta}\right)+\eta g_{0}'-b\right)\theta_{0}'-2\left(f_{0}'+g_{0}'\right)\left(\theta_{0}+\frac{\eta}{2}\theta_{0}'\right)+M\left(f_{0}'^{2}+g_{0}'^{2}\right)+Q_{h}\theta_{0}+\delta\phi_{0}e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{\theta_{0}}}+D_{u}\phi_{0}''=0$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\phi_{0}''+b\phi_{1}'+\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(1-e^{-b\eta}\right)+\eta f_{0}'+\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(1-e^{-b\eta}\right)+\eta g_{0}'-b\right)\phi_{0}'-2\left(f_{0}'+g_{0}'\right)\left(\phi_{0}+\frac{\eta}{2}\phi_{0}'\right)$$

$$(21)$$

$$\frac{S_{c}}{S_{c}} \varphi_{1}^{*} + b \varphi_{1}^{*} + \left( \frac{b}{b} (1 - e^{-S_{4}}) + \eta f_{0}^{*} + \frac{b}{b} (1 - e^{-S_{4}}) + \eta g_{0}^{*} - b \right) \varphi_{0}^{*} - 2(f_{0}^{*} + g_{0}^{*}) \left( \varphi_{0}^{*} + \frac{b}{2} \varphi_{0}^{*} \right) \\ - \delta \varphi_{0} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{\theta_{0}}} + S_{r} \theta_{0}^{"} = 0$$
(22)

Solving the resulting equations ( (15) - (22) ) as in ( Mohammed et al. 2020), we obtain

$$f(\eta) = \frac{1}{q_{2}} (1 - e^{-q_{2}\eta}) + p(d_{1}\eta e^{-q_{2}\eta} + d_{3}e^{-q_{2}\eta} - d_{4}e^{-q_{6}\eta} - d_{5}e^{-2q_{2}\eta} - d_{6}e^{-q_{3}\eta} - d_{7}e^{-bS_{c}\eta} + q_{16})$$

$$g(\eta) = \frac{\alpha}{q_{2}} (1 - e^{-q_{2}\eta}) + p\left(q_{21}\eta e^{-q_{2}\eta} + q_{22}e^{-q_{2}\eta} - q_{25}e^{-2q_{2}\eta} + \frac{q_{26}}{q_{2}^{2}}e^{-q_{2}\eta} + q_{27}\right)$$

$$\theta(\eta) = e^{-q_{3}\eta} + p\left(q_{38}e^{-q_{6}\eta} - q_{36}\eta e^{-q_{3}\eta} - q_{37}e^{-q_{3}\eta} - q_{39}e^{-q_{32}\eta} + q_{40}e^{-2q_{2}\eta} + q_{41}e^{-bS_{c}\eta} + q_{42}e^{q_{34}\eta} - \frac{q_{43}}{q_{3}}e^{-q_{3}\eta}\right)$$

$$\phi(\eta) = e^{-bS_{c}\eta} + p\left(q_{48}e^{-q_{53}\eta} - q_{45}\eta e^{-bS_{c}\eta} - q_{46}e^{-bS_{c}\eta} - q_{49}e^{q_{54}\eta} + q_{50}e^{-2q_{3}\eta} - \frac{q_{51}}{bS_{c}}e^{-bS_{c}\eta}\right)$$
(23)

Where  $b_{1} = 1 + \frac{1}{\beta}, \quad b_{2} = M + K_{p}, \quad q_{2} = \frac{b}{b_{1}}, \quad q_{3} = \frac{b}{b_{5}}, \quad b_{5} = \frac{1 + R}{p_{r}}, \quad q_{4} = \frac{q_{2}}{b} + \alpha \frac{q_{2}}{b} - bq_{2} + b_{2}, \\ q_{5} = \left(\frac{q_{2}}{b} + \alpha \frac{q_{2}}{b}\right), \quad q_{6} = b + q_{2}, \quad q_{7} = 2 + 2\alpha + \Lambda, \quad q_{9} = \frac{q_{4}}{b_{1}}, \quad q_{10} = \frac{q_{5}}{b_{1}(q_{2} - q_{6})}, \quad q_{11} = \frac{q_{7}}{b_{1}q_{2}}, \\ q_{13} = \frac{G_{r_{9}}}{b_{1}(q_{2} - q_{3})}, \quad q_{14} = \frac{G_{r_{8}}}{b_{1}(q_{2} - bS_{c})}, \quad q_{6} = b + q_{2}, \quad q_{17} = b_{2}\alpha + \frac{q_{2}\alpha}{b} + \alpha^{2}\frac{q_{2}}{b} - bq_{2}\alpha, \\ q_{18} = \left(\frac{q_{2}\alpha}{b} + \alpha^{2}\frac{q_{2}}{b}\right), \quad q_{20} = 2\alpha + 2\alpha^{2} + \Lambda\alpha^{2}, \quad q_{21} = \frac{q_{17}}{q_{2}b_{1}}, \quad q_{22} = 2\frac{q_{17}}{q_{2}^{2}b_{1}} - \frac{q_{18}}{b_{1}q_{6}^{2}(q_{2} - q_{6})}, \\ q_{25} = \frac{q_{20}}{4q_{2}b_{1}}, \quad q_{26} = \left(\frac{q_{18}}{b_{1}q_{6}(q_{2} - q_{6})} - \frac{q_{17}}{q_{2}^{2}b_{1}} + \frac{q_{20}}{2q_{2}b_{1}} - \alpha\right)q_{2}, \quad q_{27} = q_{25} - q_{22} - \frac{q_{26}}{q_{2}^{2}} \\ d_{1} = \frac{q_{9}}{q_{2}^{2}}, \quad d_{3} = 2\frac{q_{9}}{q_{3}^{3}} + \frac{q_{33}}{q_{2}^{2}}, \quad d_{30} = \left(\frac{q_{18}}{b} + \frac{q_{33}}{b}\right), \quad q_{31} = 2 + 2\alpha, \quad q_{32} = q_{2} + q_{3}, \\ q_{31} = b_{3} - Q_{b} + \frac{q_{3}}{b} - bq_{3} + \frac{\alpha q_{3}}{b}, \quad q_{30} = \left(\frac{q_{34}}{b} + \frac{\alpha q_{3}}{b}\right), \quad q_{31} = 2 + 2\alpha, \quad q_{32} = q_{2} + q_{3}, \\ q_{39} = \frac{q_{31}}{q_{32}b_{5}(q_{3} - q_{32})}, \quad q_{40} = \frac{q_{33}}{q_{2}q_{2}b_{5}(q_{3} - q_{2})}, \quad q_{41} = \left(\frac{\delta}{bS_{c}b_{3}(q_{3} - bS_{c})} + \frac{b_{a}(bS_{c})^{2}}{bS_{c}b_{3}(q_{3} - bS_{c})}\right), \\ q_{42} = \frac{\delta \alpha}{q_{34}b_{5}(q_{3} - q_{32})}, \quad q_{43} = \left(q_{38} - q_{37} - q_{39} + q_{40} + q_{41} + q_{42} - 1)q_{3}, \quad q_{45} = \left(-\frac{1}{b}(b_{3} + \delta + S_{c} + \alpha S_{c} - b^{2}S_{c})\right), \\ q_{49} = \frac{\delta \alpha}{q_{3}(b_{3} - bS_{c}}}, \quad q_{39} = \frac{S_{c}S_{c}q_{3}^{2}}{q_{3}(b_{5} - 2q_{3}}}, \quad q_{49} = \frac{S_{c}(2 + 2\alpha)}{q_{2}(q_{4} + bS_{c})}, \\ q_{49} = \frac{\delta \alpha}{q_{3}(q_{3} - bS_{c}}}, \quad q_{49} = \frac{S_{c}S_{c}q_{3}^{2}}{q_{3}(b_{5} - 2q_{3}}}, \quad q_{41} = \left(\frac{\delta \alpha}{b_{5}(b_{5} - 4\alpha}, \quad q_{49} - 1\right)b_{5}, \quad q_{42} = b + bS_{c}, \\ q_{39} = (\frac{\delta \alpha}{q_{3}} + \frac{\delta \alpha}{q_{$ 

## Results and discussion

The graphical illustrations for the steady state with Arrhenius chemical reaction are presented in in figures 4.1 to 4.22. The computations were done for different physical parameters which includes, casson parameter

 $^{\beta}$ , radiation parameter R, prandtl number  $^{P_r}$ , schmidt number  $^{S_c}$ , soret

number  $S_r$ , dufour number  $D_u$ , permeability parameter  $\Lambda$ , thermal grashof number  $G_{r_{\theta}}$ , solutal grashof number  $G_{r_{\theta}}$ , ratio parameter  $\alpha$ , porosity parameter  $K_p$ , chemical reaction parameter  $\delta$ , activation energy parameter  $\ell$ , heat source  $Q_h$  and magnetic parameter M.



Figure 4.1: Effect of  $\beta$  on Velocity Profile  $f'(\eta)$ 



Figure 4.2: Effect of  $\beta$  on Velocity Profile  $g'(\eta)$ 







Figure 4.4: Effect of  $P_r$  on Temperature Profile  $\theta(\eta)$ 217 | P a g e



Figure 4.5: Effect of M on Velocity Profile  $f'(\eta)$ 



Figure 4.6: Effect of M on Velocity Profile  $g'(\eta)$ **218** | P a g e



Figure 4.7: Effect of M on Temperature Profile  $\theta(\eta)$ 



Figure 4.8: Effect of  $K_p$  on Velocity Profile  $f'(\eta)$ **219** | P a g e



Figure 4.10: Effect of  $S_r$  on Concentration Profile  $\phi(\eta)$ 

jl.c







Figure 4.12: Effect of  $^{\Lambda}$  on Velocity Profile  $^{f'(\eta)}$ 



Figure 4.13: Effect of  $^{\Lambda}$  on Velocity Profile  $g'(\eta)$ 



Figure 4.14: Effect of  $G_{r_{\! \theta}}$  on Velocity Profile  $f'(\eta)$ 

**222** | P a g e



Figure 4.16: Effect of  $Q_h$  on Temperature Profile  $\theta(\eta)$ 



Figure 4.17: Effect of  $\,\delta\,$  on Temperature Profile  $^{ heta(\eta)}$ 



Figure 4.18: Effect of  $\,\delta\,$  on Concentration Profile $^{\phi(\eta)}$ 



Figure 4.19: Effect of  ${}^{\delta}$  on Temperature Profile  $\theta(\eta)$ 



Figure 4.20: Effect of  ${}^{\mathcal{E}}$  on Concentration profile  ${}^{\phi(\eta)}$ 



Figure 4.22: Effect of  $D_u$  on Temperature Profile  $\theta(\eta)$ 

Figures 4.1 and 4.2 depict the velocity profiles against the similarity variable  $^{\eta}$  for different values of casson parameter  $^{\beta}$ . It was observed from these figures that as casson parameter increases, the fluid velocity distribution decreases **226** | P a g e

inside the boundary layer. Figures 4.3 and 4.4 show the effects of radiation parameter R and prandtl number  $P_r$  on the temperature profile. It was observed that increase in radiation parameter increases the temperature profile while increase in prandtl number decreases the temperature profile. In figures 4.5 to 4.7, it was observed that increase in magnetic parameter decreases the velocity profiles and enhance the temperature profile as shown in figure 4.7. From figures 4.8, 4.9, 4.11, 4.12 and 4.13 to 4.15, we observed that increase in porosity parameter and permeability parameter lead to decrease in velocity profiles, ratio parameter enhances the velocity profile similarly increase in thermal and solutal grashof numbers enhances the velocity profile due to thermal and solutal buoyancy effects while soret number enhances the concentration profile as depicted in figure 4.10. From figures 4.16 to 4.22 shows that increase in heat source parameter, chemical reaction parameter, activation energy parameter and dufour number enhances the temperature profile while chemical reaction parameter, activation energy parameter and schmidtl number decrease the concentration profile.

### Conclusion

From the graphical illustrations above we conclude as follows:

- Ratio parameter, thermal and solutal grashof numbers enhance the velocity profiles while velocity profile decreases with increase in casson, magnetic, permeability and porosity parameters
- Chemical reaction parameter, activation energy parameter and schmidtl number decrease the concentration profile while Soret number enhance the concentration profile
- Prandtl number decreases the temperature profile while magnetic parameter, radiative parameter, heat source, dufour number, chemical reaction and activation energy parameters enhance the temperature profile.

### References

- Charankumar, G., Dharmaiah, G., Balamurugan, K.S. and Vedavathi, N. (2016). Chemical Reaction and Soret Effects on Casson MHD Fluid Flow Over a Vertical Plate, *Int. J. Chem. Sci,* 14(1), 213-221.
- Hussainan, Abid., Salleh, Zuki Mohd and Khan Ilyas (2016). Effects of Newtonian Heating and Inclined Magnetic Field on Two Dimensional Flow of a Casson Fluid Over a Stretching Sheet, 5<sup>th</sup> World Conference On Applied Science, Engineering and Technology HCMUT, ISBN 13: 978-81-930222-2-1, Pp 251-255.
- Kirubhashankar, C. K., Ganesh, S. and Ismail, Mohamed A. (2015). Casson Fluid Flow and Heat Transfer Over an Unsteady Porous Stretching Surface. *Applied Mathematical Science*, 9(7), 345-351.
- Kumar, Prasanna T. and Gangadhar, K. (2015), Moment and Thermal Slip Flow of MHD Casson Fluid Over a Stretching Sheet With Viscous Dissipation, *International Journal of Modern Engineering Research(IJMER): 5(5), ISBN 2249-6645.*

- Kushapala, K., Reddy, Ramana. J.V., Sugunamma, V. and Sandeep, N. (2017). Numerical Study of Chemically Reacting Unsteady Casson Fluid Flow Past a Stretching Surface With Cross Diffusion and Thermal Radiation. *Open Engineering*. 7:69-76.
- Maleque, Abdul Kh. (2013). Effects of Exorthermic/Endothermic Chemical Reaction With Arrhenius Activation Energy on MHD Free Convection and Mass Transfer Flow in Presence of Thermal Radiation. *Journal of Thermodynamics.*
- Maleque, Abdul Kh. (2016). MHD Non-Newtonian Casson Fluid Heat and Mass Transfer Flow With Exorthermic/Endothermic Binary Chemical Reaction and Activation Energy. *Columbia International Publishing American Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 3, 166-185.
- Mohammed, A. A., Olayiwola, R. O and Yisa, E. M. (2015). Simulation of Heat and Mass Mransfer in the Flow of Incompressible Viscous Fluid Past an Infinite Vertical Plate. *Gen. Math. Notes, ICSRS Publicatiom, Vol.* 31, *pp.* 54-65, *ISSN* 2219-7184.
- Mohammed, I. B. S, Saidu, Yakubu Vulegbo, Olayiwola, R.O and Abubakar, A.D. (2020). Magnetohydrodynamic Casson Fluid Flow Over an Exponential Stretching Sheet with Effect of Radiation, *International journal of pure and applied Science (IJPAS)*. *P-ISSN 139-8466, Vol 12 No 9, 66-80.*
- Olayiwola, R. O. (2016). Modeling and Analytical Simulation of A Laminar Premixed Flame Impinging on a Normal Solid Surface. *Nigerian Journal of Mathematics and Applications* (*NJMA*), 25, 226 – 240.
- Parakash, J., Durga, Prasad., Kumar, Vinod. G. Kumar, Kiran. R. V. M. S. S. and Varima, S. V. K. (2016). Heat and Mass Transfer Hydromagnetic Radiative Casson Fluid Flow Over an Exponentially Stretching Sheet With Heat Source/Sink. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, 91, 19-38.
- Pushpalata, K., Sugunamma, J. V., Reddy, Ramana and Sadeep, N. (2016). Heat and Mass Transfer in Unsteady MHD Casson Fluid Flow With Convective Boundary Conditions. International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, 91, 19-38.
- Saidulu, N and Lakshmi, A. Venkata (2016). Slip Effects on MHD Flow of Casson Fluid Over an Exponentially Stretching Sheet in Presence of Thermal Radiation, Heat Source/Sink and Chemical Reaction. *International Journal of Advanced in Engineering and Technology*, 3(1), 47-55.
- Sarojamma, G., Vasundahara, B., and Vendabai, K (2014). MHD Casson Fluid Flow, Heat and Mass Transfer in a Vertical Channel With Stretching Walls. *International Journal of Scientific Innovative Mathematical Research (IJSIMR)*, 2, 800-810.
- Vedavathi, N., Dharmaiah, G., Balamurugan, K. S. and Kumar, Charan G. (2016). Chemical Reaction Radiation and Dufour Effects on Cassn Magneto Hydro Dynamics Fluid Flow Over a Vertical Plate With Heat Source/Sink. *Global Journal* Of Pure And Applied Mathematics, 12(1),191-200.